

A Structural Model for Default Contagion

Jirô Akahori¹ and Hai-Ha Pham

AQFC 2017, April 24, Seoul

Ritsumeikan University, Japan, and Banking University of Hochiminh city, Vietnam

Table of contents

1. The Problem

2. Main Results

3. Discussion

The Problem

Outline

- Many recent researches have put attention on the **systematic risk and contagion** since the Asian banking crisis of the late 90s, and the more recent banking crisis of 2007-2008.
- Most of them used directed graphs (network) to model interdependencies.
- The present paper introduces a structural framework— **first passage time approach**— to model dependent defaults, with a particular interest in their contagion in a very larger market.
- We give an explicit form of **contagion probabilities**; depth of the contagion, total number of defaults up to a given time, default time of a set of companies, etc

Let X_t^i denote the firm value process of the i -th company, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $n \geq 2$. Define “default time” by

$$\tau^i := \inf\{s \geq 0 : X_s^i < k^i\},$$

where $k^i \in \mathbf{R}$ is a exogenously given default level for the i -th company.

The Model

We assume that $X \equiv (X^1, \dots, X^n)$ solves the following equation;

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^i = & x^i - \sum_{j \neq i} C_{i,j} 1_{\{\tau^j < t \wedge \tau^i\}} + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau^i} (\sigma_i(X_s^i) dW^i + \mu^i(X_s^i) dt) \\ & + \sum_{j \neq i} \int_{t \wedge \tau^j \wedge \tau^i}^{t \wedge \tau^i} (\sigma_i^j(X_s^i) dW^i + \mu_i^j(X_s) dt) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, where $W^i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are independent Brownian motions, and for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, $C_{i,j}$ are non-negative constants, $\sigma_i, \mu_i, \sigma_i^j, \mu_i^j$, each defined on \mathbf{R}^n , are smooth function with at most linear growth.

In a more concise way of saying,

- each component is a diffusion process on each interval from a default time to next one,
- the default of i -th company **brings about a jump** C_{ij} to j -th company,
- which may causes the default of j -th company.
- The i -th default may also affects the dynamics of the j -th firm value process in terms of its growth rate or the volatility.

Contagion Probabilities

Define the **first contagion time** by

$$\tau(1) := \min\{\tau_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

the j -th contagion time is defined recursively by

$$\tau(j) := \inf\{\tau_k : \tau_k > \tau(j-1)\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n,$$

with the convention that $\inf \emptyset = \infty$.

Contagion Probabilities

To price credit derivatives such as CDO or CDS, the distribution of the number of defaulted companies by a fixed time, denoted by N_t , and the joint distribution of $\tau_i, i \in I_0 \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ are required.

These are in principle obtained from the joint distribution of

$$(\tau(1), \dots, \tau(n), D(\tau(1)), \dots, D(\tau(n))),$$

where

$$D(\tau(k)) = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \tau_i = \tau(k)\}, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

with the convention that $\tau(k) = \infty$ if $D(\tau(k)) = \emptyset$.

Main Results

Key Ideas

The first key idea is that we regard $(\tau(i), X_{\tau(i)})$ as a “renewal-reward” process.

- We shall have a formula of the joint density of $(D(\tau(1)), \tau(1), X_{\tau(1)})$ conditioned by the starting point X_0 .
- Here we understand $X_{\tau(1)+t}, t \geq 0$ to be an $R^{D(\tau(1))^c}$ -valued process; we are only interested in the survived companies.
- Then, by replacing $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $D(\tau(1))^c$ and X_0 with $X_{\tau(1)}$, we obtain the joint distribution of $(D(\tau(2)), \tau(2), X_{\tau(2)})$ conditioned by $X_{\tau(1)}$, thanks to Markov property of X .
- We can repeat this procedure to get the desired joint distribution.

We can separate the problem of determining the joint distribution of $(D(\tau(1)), \tau(1), X_{\tau(1)})$ into three parts.

- We pretend that we are given the harmonic measure of $X_{\tau(1)-}$ (before the “artificial” jumps): in a simple Brownian case it is known.
- Then the problem reduces to the description of “contagion domain”, but it may not be in the form of disjoint union.
- To decompose the domain into disjoint sets, we rely on a recursive equation.

Hierarchical Description

- To take into account that we work on a “renewal” setting described as above, from now on we let the index set of X be arbitrary finite subset.
- In order to specify the initial index set, we put superscript l to the previously defined notations; $\tau^l(1)$, D^l , and so on. We then concentrate on the study of the joint distribution of

$$(D^l(\tau^l(1)), \tau^l(1), X_{\tau^l(1)}^{l \setminus j}). \quad (2)$$

- As we can guess, the joint distribution is obtained from the distribution of $(X^l(\tau^l(1)), \tau^l(1))$.

Contagion Region

The event $\{D^l(\tau^l(1)) = J\}$ is rephrased as the event that $X_{\tau^l(1)-}^l$ hit a set. In fact Let $l := \{i_1, \dots, i_{\#l}\}$ and for a permutation σ over l , or equivalently, $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_l$, we put

$$D_{l,\sigma} :=$$

$$\left\{ (X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_{\#l}}) \in \mathbf{R}^l : X_{i_{\sigma(1)}} = K^{i_{\sigma(1)}}, X_{i_{\sigma(2)}} \in [K^{i_{\sigma(2)}}, K^{i_{\sigma(2)}} + C_{i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}}], \right. \\ \left. \dots, X_{i_{\sigma(\#l)}} \in [K^{i_{\sigma(\#l)}}, K^{i_{\sigma(\#l)}} + \sum_{j=1}^{\#l-1} C_{i_{\sigma(j)}, i_{\sigma(\#l)}}] \right\}.$$

Contagion Region

Then, we have the following

Lemma

For $\emptyset \neq J \subset I$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{D^I(\tau^I(1)) = J\} \\ &= \left\{ X_{\tau^I(1)-}^I \in \bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_J} D_{J,\sigma} \times \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} (K^i + \sum_{j \in J} C_{j,i}, \infty) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this equation, we can get a kind of set inclusion-exclusion formula, by which we can get a disjoint representation of the contagion domain.

“Harmonic Measure”

- So by measuring the domain by the joint distribution of $(X_{\tau(1)-}, \tau(1)-)$ we get what we want.
- If we put an independence condition, The distribution is implied by those of X^i to the region $[K^i, \infty)$.

“Harmonic Measure”

Let us be more precise. Let \tilde{X} be a kind of *Business As Usual* process given as

$$\tilde{X}_t^i = x^i + \int_0^t (\sigma_i(\tilde{X}_s^i) dW^i + \mu^i(\tilde{X}_s^i) dt),$$

and $\tilde{\tau}_i$ be its default time:

$$\tilde{\tau}_i := \inf\{s > 0 : \tilde{X}_s^i \leq K^i\}.$$

We assume that each of the distribution of $(\tilde{\tau}_i, \tilde{X}^i)$ has a density, and put

$$p_j(s) = \frac{P(\tilde{\tau}_i \in ds)}{ds},$$

and

$$q_j(s, x) = \frac{P(\tilde{\tau}_i > s, \tilde{X}^i \in dx)}{dx}.$$

“Harmonic Measure”

The “harmonic measure”, the distribution of $X_{\tau^l(1)}^l$ can be obtained by the following

Lemma

For $A \in \mathfrak{B}(G)$,

$$P(X_{\tau^l(1)-}^l \in A, \tau^l(1) \in ds) = \sum_i p_i(s) \int_A \delta_{K^i}(dx_i) \prod_{j \neq i} q_j(s, dx_j), \quad (3)$$

where δ_* is the Dirac delta at $*$.

Main Result

Let $\emptyset \neq J \subsetneq I$, and define a family of measures

$$h^l(J, s, A) := P(D^l(\tau^l(1)) = J, X_{\tau^l(1)}^{I \setminus J} \in A, \tau^l(1) \in ds) / ds,$$

and

$$g_{J,l}(s, A) := \int_{\prod_{i \in I \setminus J} [K^i, \infty) \times A} \prod_{i \in I \setminus J} q_i(s, x_i + \sum_{j \in J} C_{j,i}) dx,$$

for $s > 0$ and $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^{I \setminus J})$. We also set

$$h^l(s) := P(D^l(\tau^l(1)) = I, \tau^l(1) \in ds), \quad s > 0,$$

and

$$g^{J,l}(s) := \frac{\sum_{j \in J} p_j(s)}{\sum_{i \in I} p_i(s)} g_{J,l}(s, \mathbf{R}^{I \setminus J}).$$

Main Result

The following is our main result.

Theorem

(i) For a finite non-empty $J \subsetneq I$, $s > 0$ and $A \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R}^{\setminus J})$,

$$h^l(J, s, A) = h^l(s)g_{J,I}(s, A). \quad (4)$$

(ii) For $s > 0$,

$$h^l(s) = \left(\sum_{i \in I} p_i(s) \right) \left(1 + \sum_{m=1}^{\#I-1} (-1)^m \sum_{I_m \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq I_1 \subsetneq I_0} \prod_{l=1}^m g^{I_l, I_{l-1}}(s) \right). \quad (5)$$

Thank you!

Discussion
